- Banister, A. J., Hansford, M. I., Hauptman, Z. V., Luke, A. W., Wait, S. T., Clegg, W. & Jørgensen, K. A. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2793–2802.
- Clegg, W. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 22-28.
- Clegg, W., McCamley, A., Banister, A. J. & Lavender, I. (1993). Unpublished.
- Cromer, D. J. & Liberman, D. (1970). J. Chem. Phys. 53, 1891-1898.
- Cromer, D. J. & Liberman, D. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 267-268.
- Davison, A. & Holm, R. H. (1967). Inorg. Synth. 10, 8-26.
- Hazell, A. C. & Hazell, R. G. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1807-1810.
- Hursthouse, M. B., Rickard, C. E. F., Banister, A. J., Luke, A. W. & Rawson, J. M. (1993). Acta Cryst. Submitted.
- Kabsch, W. (1988). J. Appl. Cryst. 21, 916-924.
- Kobayashi, A., Sasaki, Y., Kobayashi, H., Underhill, A. E. & Ahmad, M. M. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 390-391.
- Kutsumizu, S., Kojima, N., Ban, T. & Tsujikawa, I. (1987). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 60, 2547-2553.
- Messerschmidt, A. & Pflugrath, J. W. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 306-315.
- Papiz, M. Z. & Andrews, S. J. (1987). Computational Aspects of Protein Crystal Data Analysis. Report DL/SCI/R25. SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, England.
- Scholz, U., Roesky, H. W., Schimkowiak, J. & Noltemeyer, M. (1989). Chem. Ber. 122, 1067-1070.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Beta test version. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Smith, J. H. & Wonacott, A. J. (1979). CCP4 Program Suite. SERC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, Warrington, England.
- Stoe & Cie (1988). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Revision 7.04. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Vegas, A., Pérez-Salazar, A., Banister, A. J. & Hey, R. G. (1980). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1812-1815.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.
- Zhong, Z. J., Nishida, Y., Okawa, H. & Kidu, S. (1987). Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. C, 16, 19-24.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 33-36

Bis(η^5 -tert-butylcyclopentadienyl)dichloroniobium(IV)

ANDREW MCCAMLEY AND THOMAS J. MILLER

Department of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, England

WILLIAM CLEGG*

Department of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England

(Received 5 May 1993; accepted 2 July 1993)

Abstract

The monomeric molecule of the title compound, $[NbCl_2-(C_9H_{13})_2]$, is best described as a paramagnetic pseudotetrahedral complex of niobium(IV). The two *tert*butylcyclopentadienyl ligands are asymmetrically bound

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain -- all rights reserved to the Nb atom [Nb—C bond-length range 2.394 (6)-2.470 (6) Å]. The asymmetry is accounted for in terms of the steric demand of the 'Bu groups and Cl ligands. Pseudo-tetrahedral geometry is completed by two Cl ligands [Nb—Cl 2.477 (2) and 2.483 (2) Å, Cl—Nb—Cl 84.15 (6)°].

Comment

Bis(cyclopentadienyl)metal complexes have been of interest for many years, finding applications in catalysis and organic synthesis. The use of alkyl-substituted cyclopentadienyl ligands, notably the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand, has been shown to enhance the solubility and stability of metal complexes. The title complex, [NbCl₂(η^{5} -C₉H₁₃)₂] (1), is of interest since it represents a versatile precursor to a wide range of bis-(*tert*-butylcyclopentadienyl)niobium(IV) compounds.

The Nb—Cl bond lengths and Cl—Nb—Cl bond angle are normal and comparable with other published data (Prout, Cameron, Forder, Critchley, Denton & Rees, 1974; Lanfredi, Tiripicchio, Kapon & Reisner, 1990). The *tert*-butylcyclopentadienyl rings are bound in a staggered relative orientation. The Nb-ring-centroid distances are 2.103 and 2.094 Å and the intra-ring C—C distances do not differ significantly.

The crystal structures of $[NbCl_2(\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2]$ (2) (Lanfredi et al., 1990), $[ZrCl_2(\eta^5-C_5H_4CMe_3)_2]$ (3) (Howie, McQuillan, Thompson & Lock, 1986) and $[ZrCl_2(\eta^5-C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2]$ (4) (Antinolo *et al.*, 1987) have been determined previously. All have essentially the same staggered orientation of rings, with substituents positioned approximately perpendicular to the Cl-M-Clbisector, as in Fig. 1. Relevant data for structures (1)-(4)are given in Table 3. The most striking change on moving from 16-electron zirconium(IV) to 17-electron niobium(IV) complexes is the reduction of the Cl-M-Clangle. This has been observed previously in the unsubstituted [$MCl_2(\eta^5 - C_5H_5)_2$] analogues (Prout *et al.*, 1974) and is a consequence of the presence of an extra electron in a non-bonding but sterically significant orbital of approximate ' d_{y^2} ' description (Hoffmann & Lauher,



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of (1) without H atoms.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994 1976). There is a slight concomitant increase in the X - M - X angle (X is the ring centroid). A slight decrease in the M - X distance is observed on changing the metal from zirconium to niobium, presumably as a consequence of the reduction in covalent radius.

Complexes (1)-(4) show considerable variations in the M—C bond lengths associated with the C₅H₄EMe₃ (E = C, Si) rings, represented graphically in Fig. 2. Such variations are not observed in the unsubstituted complexes, at least within the precision of their structures (Prout *et al.*, 1990). Complex (3) shows a simple parabolic curve, indicating that the substituted atom C1 and nearest ring neighbours C2 and C5 are furthest from the zirconium centre. This is attributable to steric repulsion between the 'Bu group and the nearest chloride ligand (approximate Cl···H distance 2.9 Å, based on the calculated H-atom positions). The shortest Zr···H contact is greater than 4 Å, indicating that steric interaction between the 'Bu group



Fig. 2. The variation in M—C bond lengths in (1)-(4): (a) M = Zr; (b) M = Nb. The points on each graph are given as the average of the two M—C bond lengths specified, with atom numbering as in Fig. 1. Bond-length e.s.d. values are indicated by the vertical error bar on the key to each diagram.

and the Zr atom is minimal. Fig. 2 clearly demonstrates that the asymmetry in the $[(\eta^5-C_5H_4EMe_3)M]$ moiety is ameliorated when the 'Bu substituent is replaced with an SiMe₃ substituent: the Zr—C bond lengths are much more equivalent in (4). The longer Si—C bonds hold the methyl groups further away from the chloride ligand and steric replusion is minimized. There may also be some orbital overlap of the Si *d* orbitals with the appropriate ring orbitals, which is at a maximum when the SiMe₃ substituent is not bent away from the metal.

The niobium complexes (1) and (2), while showing broadly similar features, also exhibit notable differences (Fig. 2). The ring substituent again levers the ring away from the metal. Surprisingly, there seems to be a tendency for C5 to move closer towards the metal, in spite of the shorter M - X distance and the closing of the Cl-M—Cl angle. However, these factors act to bring Cl1 and Cl2 somewhat closer to the C8—H8 and C4—H4 bonds. respectively. Thus, the Nb-C4 and Nb-C8 bonds are now considerably longer than the other Nb-C bonds, in comparison with the zirconium analogue (3), as a consequence of the steric demands of the nearest chloride ligand. The resultant effect is that the tertbutylcyclopentadienyl ligands in (1) bond in a 'butterfly' fashion, with the C1/C6 and C4/C8 'wings' pushed away from the Nb atom. Steric repulsions between the chloride ligand and the ring and substituent are the important factors governing the molecular geometry. This distortion may be enhanced by replacing Nb with the smaller metal Mo and is likely to have consequences on the subsequent chemistry of such complexes. An investigation of the chemistry of (1) is currently under way.

Experimental

Crystal data $[NbCl_2(C_9H_{13})_2]$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ $M_r = 406.20$ Orthorhombic Cell parameters from 31 $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ reflections $\theta = 10.02 - 12.42^{\circ}$ $a = 10.383 (2) \text{ \AA}$ $\mu = 0.965 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 12.906 (3) Å T = 160.0 (10) Kc = 13.327 (3) Å Block V = 1785.9 (7) Å³ $0.31 \times 0.15 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.511 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Orange

Data collectionStoe Siemens diffractometer $R_{int} = \omega/\theta$ ω/θ scans $\theta_{max} = 0$ Absorption correction:h = -1empiricalk = -1 $T_{min} = 0.65$, $T_{max} = 0.84$ l = -13567 measured reflections5 stant2418 independent reflectionsfree1858 observed reflectionsintegendent

$$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$$

 $R_{int} = 0.0795 \text{ (on } F^2\text{)}$ $\theta_{max} = 25.00^\circ$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 5 standard reflectionsfrequency: 60 min intensity variation: 2% Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Extinction correction:
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0324$	SHELXL93 (Sheldrick,
$wR(F^2) = 0.0831$ (all data)	1993)
S = 1.053 (all data)	Extinction coefficient:
2418 reflections	0.0010(4)
191 parameters	Atomic scattering factors
H atoms constrained	from International Tables
Calculated weights	for Crystallography (1992
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0353P)^2]$	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	6.1.1.4)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$	Absolute configuration:
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.61 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	Flack (1983); $x =$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.03 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	0.16 (9)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a_{i}^{*} a_{j}^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}.$				
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Nb	0.24255 (6)	0.47524 (4)	0.41620 (3)	0.0163 (2)
C11	0.3378 (2)	0.37676 (13)	0.55742 (10)	0.0291 (10)
Cl2	0.1064 (2)	0.55441 (12)	0.54875 (11)	0.0273 (8)
C1	0.1911 (6)	0.3035 (5)	0.3449 (4)	0.021 (3)
C2	0.1973 (6)	0.3769 (4)	0.2672 (4)	0.021 (3)
C3	0.0966 (6)	0.4517 (5)	0.2779 (4)	0.023 (3)
C4	0.0286 (6)	0.4234 (5)	0.3640 (5)	0.028 (3)
C5	0.0879 (6)	0.3362 (4)	0.4077 (5)	0.024 (3)
C6	0.3078 (6)	0.6523 (5)	0.3733 (4)	0.021 (3)
C7	0.3871 (6)	0.6163 (5)	0.4529 (5)	0.026 (3)
C8	0.4642 (5)	0.5340 (5)	0.4183 (5)	0.028 (3)
C9	0.4298 (6)	0.5128 (5)	0.3179 (4)	0.023 (3)
C10	0.3345 (6)	0.5860 (4)	0.2911 (4)	0.019 (4)
C11	0.2662 (7)	0.2034 (4)	0.3484 (4)	0.024 (4)
C12	0.2430 (8)	0.1445 (4)	0.4478 (5)	0.039 (4)
C13	0.2206 (8)	0.1359 (5)	0.2604 (5)	0.042 (5)
C14	0.4124 (7)	0.2230 (5)	0.3363 (5)	0.034 (4)
C15	0.2294 (8)	0.7515 (4)	0.3692 (4)	0.027 (5)
C16	0.0900(7)	0.7304 (5)	0.3330 (5)	0.027 (4)
C17	0.2964 (8)	0.8246 (5)	0.2938 (5)	0.041 (5)
C18	0.2252 (8)	0.8048 (5)	0.4722 (5)	0.038 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Nb—C9	2.394 (6)	C2-C3	1.429 (9)
Nb—C10	2.395 (6)	C3-C4	1.396 (9)
Nb—C2	2.403 (5)	C4—C5	1.410 (8)
Nb—C3	2.405 (6)	C6-C10	1.418 (8)
Nb—C7	2.409 (6)	C6–C7	1.421 (8)
Nb—C5	2.411 (6)	C6C15	1.517 (8)
Nb—C4	2.422 (6)	C7C8	1.408 (9)
NbC8	2.424 (6)	C8–C9	1.411 (8)
Nb—C6	2.451 (6)	C9-C10	1.414 (8)
Nb-C1	2.470 (6)	C11-C13	1.536 (8)
Nb—Cl1	2.477 (2)	C11-C12	1.547 (8)
Nb—Cl2	2.483 (2)	C11-C14	1.547 (10)
C1-C2	1.404 (8)	C15-C18	1.536 (8)
C1C5	1.423 (8)	C15-C17	1.544 (9)
C1—C11	1.510 (8)	C15-C16	1.551 (10)
Cl1—Nb—Cl2	84.15 (6)	C9-C10-C6	110.2 (5)
C2-C1-C5	105.6 (6)	C1-C11-C13	107.6 (5)
C2-C1-C11	125.2 (5)	C1-C11-C12	111.5 (6)
C5-C1-C11	128.6 (5)	C13-C11-C12	109.1 (5)
C1-C2-C3	110.4 (6)	C1-C11-C14	111.3 (5)
C4–C3–C2	106.0 (5)	C13-C11-C14	108.4 (6)
C3-C4-C5	109.1 (6)	C12-C11-C14	108.8 (6)
C4C5C1	108.8 (5)	C6-C15-C18	111.2 (5)
C10-C6-C7	105.4 (5)	C6-C15-C17	107.3 (6)

C10-C6-C15	125.9 (5)	C18C15-C17	108.7 (5)
C7-C6-C15	127.8 (5)	C6-C15-C16	111.3 (5)
C8C7C6	109.4 (5)	C18-C15-C16	109.3 (6)
C7C8C9	108.2 (6)	C17-C15-C16	109.0 (5)
C8-C9-C10	106.7 (5)		

Table 3. Summary of crystallographic data (Å, °) for (1)-(4)

X is the ligand ring centroid.				
Complex	Mean M—Cl	Mean M—X	Cl—M—Cl	Х—М—Х
(1)	2.480	2.099	84.2	131.0
(2)	2.461	2.079	84.8	131.7
(3)	2.457	2.218	94.3	128.7
(4)	2.490	2.204	93.7	129.1

The compound was synthesized by the reaction of *tert*butylcyclopentadienyllithium with $[NbCl_4(OC_4H_8)_2]$ in 1,2dimethoxyethane at 273 K under an argon atmosphere [a modification of the preparation described by Broussier, Normand & Gautheron (1978)]. A single crystal suitable for Xray diffraction was grown by slow diffusion of hexane into a dichloromethane solution. A molecular ion was observed in the mass spectrum at m/e = 405, with an isotope pattern appropriate for a complex containing two chloride ligands. Chemical analysis figures were acceptable.

The absolute structure determination is based on the enantiomorph polarity parameter method of Flack (1983); the data comprise a complete set of Friedel pairs. H atoms were constrained: cyclopentadiene C—H = 0.94 Å on ring-angle external bisector, $U(H) = 1.2U_{cq}(C)$; methyl C—H = 0.99 Å, H—C— H = 109.5°, staggered conformations, $U(H) = 1.5U_{cq}(C)$. Data collection: DIF4 (Stoe & Cie, 1988). Cell refinement: DIF4. Data reduction: local programs. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93 and local programs.

We thank the SERC for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71463 (12 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: MU1065]

References

- Antinolo, A., Lappert, M. F., Singh, A., Winterborn, D. J. W., Engelhardt, L. M., Raston, C. L., White, A. H., Carty, A. J. & Taylor, N. J. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1463-1472.
- Broussier, R., Normand, H. & Gautheron, B. (1978). J. Organomet. Chem. 155, 337-346.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hoffmann, R. & Lauher, J. W. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1729-1742.
- Howie, R. A., McQuillan, G. P., Thompson, D. W. & Lock, G. A. (1986). J. Organomet. Chem. 303, 213-220.
- Lanfredi, A. M. M., Tiripicchio, A., Kapon, M. & Reisner, G. M. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. p. 375.
- Prout, C. K., Cameron, T. S., Forder, R. A., Critchley, S. R., Denton, B. & Rees, G. V. (1974). *Acta Cryst.* B30, 2290-2301.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

Stoe & Cie (1988). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.04. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 36-38

Structures of the Indium Trihalide Bisphosphine Complexes [InBr₃(PMe₂Ph)₂] and [InI₃(PMePh₂)₂]

WILLIAM CLEGG,* NICHOLAS C. NORMAN AND NIGEL L. PICKETT

Department of Chemistry, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, England

(Received 29 April 1993; accepted 2 July 1993)

Abstract

Both title compounds, tribromobis(dimethylphenylphosphine)indium and triiodobis(methyldiphenylphosphine)indium, contain indium(III) in a trigonal bipyramidal environment, with axial phosphine and equatorial halide ligands. In—P bonds are ca 0.1 Å longer in the triiodide compound; this probably results mainly from the larger size of the phosphines in this compound, although the effect of changing the halide cannot be assessed from the limited data available. Deviations of angles from ideal trigonal bipyramidal values are statistically significant but no larger than those commonly observed for this type of geometry.

Comment

Phosphine complexes of the *p*-block elements have been known for many years and the subject has been reviewed (Levason & McAuliffe, 1976), but the number of compounds which have been structurally characterized remains small. For the specific example of indium(III) halides (X = halogen), the following types of complex have been proposed on the basis of analytical and spectroscopic data: $[InX_3(PR_3)_n]$ (n = 1, 2, 3), $[InX_2(PR_3)_n]^+$ (n = 2, 4) and $[In(PR_3)_4]^{3+}$ (Carty & Tuck, 1975). However, the only compounds to have been structurally characterized are the 1:1 adducts [InI₃(PHPh₂)] (1) and [InI₃(PH^{*i*}Bu₂)] (2) (Alcock, Degnan, Howarth & Wallbridge, 1992), and the 2:1 adducts [InCl₃(PPh₃)₂] (3) (Veidis & Palenik, 1969), [InCl₃(PMe₃)₂] (4) and [(InI₃)₂(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂)₃] (5) (Degnan, Alcock, Roe & Wallbridge, 1992). In the former set of complexes,

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved the indium adopts a tetrahedral geometry, while in the latter set the geometry is trigonal bipyramidal with *trans* axial phosphines. In (5), one diphosphine ligand bridges the two indium centres, whereas the other two are monodentate. Also worth mentioning is the related 1:1 gallium complex [GaCl₃(PMe₃)] (Carter, Jugie, Enjalbert & Galy, 1978). We report here the structures of the two indium trihalide bisphosphine adducts [InBr₃(PMe₂Ph)₂] (6) and [InI₃(PMePh₂)₂] (7).

The indium centre in both molecules adopts a trigonal bipyramidal geometry with the phosphine ligands trans in the axial sites. The In-P bond distances in (6) are 2.614 (3) and 2.622 (3) Å, but are ca 0.1 Å longer in (7) at 2.712 (3) and 2.719 (3) Å. We note that in (5), the In-P bond distances are longer still at 2.798(3) and 2.819(2) Å, whereas those in (4) are 2.575(3) and 2.576 (3) Å, the shortest bonds between InX_3 and PR_3 in any structure to date; in (3) the relevant bond lengths are 2.701 and 2.723 Å. Clearly, the wide range of observed values reflects the large variation in the size of the phosphines, but the nature of the halide is also likely to be important. Additional structures in which the phosphine is varied while the halide is kept constant, and structures of complexes of different indium(III) halides with the same phosphine, would allow the various factors to be delineated more clearly. The In-P bond lengths in the tetrahedral complexes (1) and (2) are 2.598 (mean of two independent molecules)



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994